HKDSE Liberal Studies Briefing Session on 2012 Examination

Annotations for Sample Scripts of Papers 1 & 2
Annotations for Sample Scripts of Paper 1
Sample 1 – Paper 1 Q1(a)

Comments:

• was able to describe accurately and clearly the trends of the population statistics of Hong Kong
• well supported with the data given
• was unable to generalize the trend from the four sets of data
Comments:

- correctly identified and elaborated some social problems
- exemplified how the social problems could be elaborated in detail with reference to the sources
Sample 1 – Paper 1 Q1(c)

Comments:

• presented a clear and consistent stance
• was able to discuss the issue from various perspectives, with reference to the information provided, fully demonstrating a high level of analytical and argumentative skills of the candidate
• well-structured and logically presented arguments
• a clear focus on legislation as a means to easing the social problems, which is the gist of the question
評語:

- 能利用資料所提供的數據，清晰及準確地描述人口統計數字的趨勢
- 但未能歸納，以作整體描述
評語:

- 只簡單列出一些人口老化帶來的社會問題
- 部分答案為問題的成因，與題目不相關
Sample 2 – Paper 1 Q1(c)

評語：

- 立場清晰，但解說過於簡單
- 只就「應否向長者提供經濟支援」作解說，忽略了有關「立法規管」的討論
評語:

- 清楚指出吸煙對威脅個人及公眾健康，如健康受損、肺癌。
- 仔細說明二手煙與公共衛生風險的關係，如疾病增加、醫療開支增加等。
評語:

- 正確並清晰地描述整體人口百分比的特徵，如所影響人口只佔少數
- 仔細描述2008年至2010年人口百分比改變的特徵
評語：

- 就兩項政策的成效有深入的討論，緊扣二手煙所帶來的問題
- 比較其他政策，並解說其餘政策的不足之處
- 嘗試評估設立禁煙區的可行性，惟部分討論不足
Sample 4 – Paper 1 Q2(a)

Comments:

• pointed out the risk of second-hand smoking
• tried to explain how the message was conveyed in the cartoon, but the elaboration on the public health risk was inadequate
Sample 4 – Paper 1 Q2(b)

Comments:

- described the overall changes and the changes in percentage of coverage of each policy, without pointing out the major features of the changes
- was able to identify some key features of the coverage in 2008 and 2010 respectively, i.e., the policies with the highest coverage
Comments:

- provided an explanation of how the two chosen policies might be effective, meeting the requirements of the question
- but arguments were not well-supported by appropriate examples (e.g., how the cartoon helps the justification for the need to establish smoke free was not clearly elaborated)
- the lack of comparison with other policies left the question of how the policies are ‘more effective’ unanswered
Sample 5 – Paper 1 Q3(a)

評語:

- 能歸納數據及較全面引用資料A，指出港人對政治組織的表現不滿意和不認識，展示其數據分析能力
評語:

• 能引用資料B，清楚指出及解釋一些因素，並正確引用事例，分析香港政治組織面臨的問題
評語：

- 清楚表明立場，並引用恰當事例，例如，財政預算案
- 對香港政治組織的角色，例如向政府提供意見、參與地區事務等，及題目中的關鍵概念有充分的理解，因而理據充分且合乎邏輯
- 就不同向度，深入論證不同政治組織怎樣提升政府的管治效能，並提出有關限制，展現其高階批判的分析能力；惟就限制的討論不足
Sample 6 – Paper 1 Q3(a)

Comments:

• described people’s views on individual political organisations with the data
• unable to generalise the views from the two sets of data
Sample 6 – Paper 1 Q3(b)

Comments:

• attempted to explain the performance of individual political organisations, however unable to provide sound explanation

• unable to interpret Source B correctly and thus failing to make use of it to delineate some factors
Comments:

- presented a clear stance with some simple arguments, though predominantly one-sided
- some inappropriate examples were employed, for example, “the Trial Scheme for Cross Boundary Vehicles”, thus unable to explain clearly how the presence of various political organisations undermines the effectiveness of governance
- showed limited understanding of the concept of effective governance and the role of political organisations
Annotations for Sample Scripts of Paper 2
Comments:

- 立場明確，有簡述定義
- 對題目的討論重點有初步的理解
- 回應立論，並從整體角度加以解釋
- 引用例子輔以說明，有助提升說服力
- 提出反論，能凸出若優先考慮個人利益的弊處，並配合例子作說明。
Comments:

- 立場明確，有簡述定義
- 引用例子，對立論進行解說
- 嘗試引用例子對前文進行解說
- 嘗試提出反論，惟稍欠仔細
- 能重申立場，概括全文觀點
Comments:

- Showed the stance clearly
- Briefly explained the views
- Provided advantages of building transport infrastructure
- Disadvantages were mainly related to the third runway
- Briefly explained the reason why the society's interest should take priority
- Mentioned what the government could do to compensate for the loss of personal interests but which was not related to the question
- Tried to make counter-argument but the explanation was brief
- Was unable to explain the differences between society’s interests and personal interests
Comments:

- Indicated the standpoint
- Was unable to explain how different stakeholders could be involved in planning of transport infrastructure
- Was able to provide some reasons for involving different stakeholders but the explanation was not clear enough
- Some stakeholders mentioned were not directly related to the issue
- Tried to use other examples for illustration
Comments:

- “Multinational corporations” were explained with detailed examples and in the context of China.
- Provided detailed explanation with concepts of how “Multinational Corporations” affecting Chinese culture.
- Appropriately applied the source given - “McDonald’s”.
- “Global network” was explained with detailed examples and in the context of mainland.
- Detailed explanation with concepts of how “Global network” affecting China.
- “International organizations and institutions” were also explained with detailed examples in the context of China.
- Organization was clear.
Comments:

- Counter Arguments were given on Western cultures: “to replace other cultures in different aspects that other cultures are not easy to flow back”
- Provided detailed explanation of the counter argument e.g. the spread of democracy and convenience
- Clearly explained how Western cultures are dominating but not replacing other cultures
- Provided detailed examples of other cultures not replaced by Western cultures e.g. Kung Fu Panda, Korean, Japanese cultures
- Argument of “stopping Western culture over local culture” was given and detailed examples were given
- Able to provide a convincing argument of not a replacement but “coexist” if the cultures are not conflicting
- Appropriate examples were used, like filial piety of celebrating Chinese New Year, McDonald’s and Wal-Mart, to support the argument
Sample 10 – Paper 2  Q2 (a)

Comments:

• 能描述一些方式，但只是很表面地提及對中國文化的影響，欠詳細說明
• 嘗試解釋一些全球化的方式如何影響中國文化，但未能緊扣全球化的主題，以及對中國文化影響的解釋較為片面
• 嘗試指出全球化的一些方式，但未能扣緊全球化，而且也只是簡略及片面地提及對中國傳統的影響而欠缺進一步解釋
Comments:

• 立場清晰
• 從語言傳播方面來回應題目，但用香港的地區方言為例子說明，解說略為不足
• 以「麥當勞化」為例說明，但未有清晰解釋，如何「取代」中國文化。
• 嘗試以中國傳統節日來說明西方文化如何影響中國文化，但沒有清楚說明西方文化有沒有“取代”中國文化。
Comments:

- Candidate showed the ability to stick to the focuses of the question by, firstly, naming the factors contributing to the use of the genetic tests by mainland mothers-to-be, and secondly, pinpointing how the tests are being "abused". Both focuses are discussed in a balanced way with elaborated explanations, and the points raised covered a reasonably wide range of perspectives, including those from the angles of socio-economic background, mothers' own perception, China's policies, etc.

- However, the script was not able to score higher because some of the points raised were more of criteria than factors. For example, in the point about "practicality and feasibility", the factors mentioned do enable the mothers-to-be to take the tests but they do not necessarily lead to the mothers' choice to take the tests. The script showed a slight mix-up of concept on the question and thus proves difficult to score more than 8.
Sample 11 – Paper 2  Q3 (b)

Comments:

- The question requires the candidates to point out and explain social and ethical problems caused by the misuse of genetic test results. Candidates were required to explain the actual social effects to be reflected in the society, and, on the ethical side, how the effect is "wrong" in an ethical sense.

- The candidate was able to do well in all of the conditions above. The problems raised were valid and well-elaborated under the principles mentioned above. For the second point, for instance, the candidate pinpointed "commercialization" as a social problem, and then went on explaining what it meant by "commercialization" and how it could happen with the misuse of test results.

- Some minor problems were observed in elaboration such as the use of Xiao Yueyue as an example which is not too relevant to the point, but these hiccups should not take the light from the level shown by the script itself.
Comments:

- 考生大致上明白題目的要求，從數個不同角度解釋內地孕婦使用基因測試的可能原因，討論尚算清楚
- 但考生在解說上未能把考慮原因與使用基因測試的關係作清晰的解說，只是說過多內地孕婦來港進行基因測試則是濫用，反映考生對濫用這討論的關鍵理解不太清晰
Sample 12 – Paper 2  Q3 (b)

Comments:

- 考生尚能明白題目的討論焦點，亦能在社會及道德兩個層面分別作簡單解釋
- 但考生只能指出因基因測試結果所引致的社會現象，例如男女失衡這社會現象可能會引起的社會問題，卻未有指出問題及加以討論
- 同樣地在道德問題的討論也出現相同的情況，例如只指出家庭倫理的崩壞但沒有解釋問題所在
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